

Lunar Surface Innovation





2022 LSIC Simulants Assessment Report: Implications for Dust Mitigation June 2023 LSIC Dust Mitigation Monthly Meeting

Karen R. Stockstill-Cahill LSIC Member, APL Lunar Regolith Simulants Lead

APL LSI | Lunar Regolith

- What is Lunar Regolith?
 - A complex mixture of particles that covers the lunar surface
 - Crystalline rock fragments
 - Highland Anorthosite (>90% Plagioclase)
 - \circ Mare Basalt
 - Mineral fragments
 - $_{\circ}$ Limited compositional range
 - Rims tend to be amorphous and contain nanophase Fe⁰ (npFe⁰)
 - Breccias
 - Agglutinates
 - Glass
 - Unique particle sizes and shapes!
 - Avg. Median particle size = 70 microns
 - Elongated particles, subangular to angular



APL/ LSII | Lunar Regolith Simulants

- An approximation of Lunar Regolith
 - Anorthite
 - White Mountain Anorthosite (aka GreenSpar) from Kangerlussuag, Greenland (Avg. An83; Gruener et al., 2020)
 - Shawmere Anorthosite Complex in Ontario, Canada (Avg. An78; Battler and Spray, 2009)
 - **Basalt** (providers often use glassy basalts to mimic the glass content)
 - (Previously) Black Lava Rock from Pebble Junction
 - San Francisco volcanic field (Arizona) basaltic cinder
 - **Ilmenite** (FeTiO₃)

Missing unique components of Lunar Regolith

- Agglutinates
 - Some providers are making them in the lab
- Nanophase Fe⁰ metal
- **Amorphous mineral rims**

Anorthosite

Mare



Lunar Simulants – Composition & Particle Size/Shape (2020,2021)

Bulk Composition Mineralogy **Particle Size Distribution Particle Shape** (XRD, SEM) (XRF, SEM) (Sieve, Camsizer) (Camsizer) Camsizer Camera View Exolith LHS-1 background removed (a) Anorthite, sodian, disordered (00-041-1481) 60000 Groutite (01-075-1199) Forsterite, syn (01-076-0561 X-ray Fluorescence X-ray Diffraction (XRF) 40000 (XRD) Counts MICROTRAC CAMSIZER X-DD Position [°2Theta] (Copper (Cu)) **Scanning Electron** Microscope (SEM) **EDS-enabled Sieve Pans** Aspect Ratio = · 3.00 a/b 0 Company of the local division of the local d Contraction of the local division of the loc

Lunar Simulants – Geotechnical Characteristics (2022)

Particle Size Distribution (Sieve)



Direct Shear Strength



Specific Gravity



Min & Max Density



Lunar Simulants – Composition (2020, 2021)

Bulk composition – XRF and SEM (Na₂O)



Lunar Simulants – Particle Size & Shape (2021)

Particle Size Distribution (PSD)

- Sieved materials (circles)
- Camsizer system (squares)



Lunar Regolith = Dust + Bigger Stuff

- NIH study (Pohlen et al, 2022) says the respirable fraction of lunar dust is divided into:
 - Ultrafine: <0.1 um
 - Fine: 0.1 2.5 um ~13.5% by mass
 - Coarse: 2.5 10 um
- Rickman & Street (2008)
 - Material < 20 um ~23% by mass
 - Poorly characterized
- Note: Sieving skews the particles to the larger since many fines cling to larger particles



Rickman & Street (2008) Some Expected Mechanical Characteristics of Lunar Dust: A Geological View, https://www.nasa.gov/sites/default/files/atoms/files/staif2008_rickman_street.pdf.



Lunar Regolith Simulants = Dust + Bigger Stuff

- Simulants fairly good match to PSD of average Apollo regolith
 - All plot within 1 stand deviation (gray lines)

Calculated % finer than:					
	10 µm	20 µm			
Apollo avg	13.5	23.1			
LHS-1	6.3	15.2			
LMS-1	6.8	15.5			
OPRH3N	16.0	31.0			
OPRL2N	15.1	29.7			
CSM-LHT-1	9.3	16.9			
CSM-LMT-1	8.5	16.3			

- Note: Sieving skews the particles to the larger since many fines cling to larger particles
 - This may be less of an issue for Camsizer?



Lunar Simulants – Particle Size & Shape (2022)

Particle Size Distribution (2022)



Lunar Regolith – Composition vs. particle size?

- Does lunar regolith show compositional changes in various particle size splits? YES!!!
 - McKay *et al.* (1991) "Polymineralic and lithic (rock) fragments dominate the coarser size fractions. In contrast, the finer soil fractions are enriched in feldspars and glassy phases." (Ch. 7 of LSB)
 - Heiken (1975) Petrology of Lunar Soils (Rev. of Geophysics & Space Physics) typical Apollo 17 mare regolith

Components*				TABLE 4a	. Petrography	of a Series of	Size Fractions I	From 71061,1, a	a Typical Apolle	o 17 Mare Soil			
				Petrographic Description, vol %					Visual Estimate in Lunar Receiving Laboratory				
Agglutinates		Components*	<20 µm	20–45 μm	4575 μm	75 - 90 μm	90–150 µm	150–250 μm	250–500 μm	0.5–1 mm	1–2 mm	2–4 mm	4–10 mm
Basalt, equigranular			17.0	17.2	12.0	17.2	0.2	11.8	10.0	10.0			
Basalt, variolitic		Aggiutinates Beselt equigrapular	17.0	17.5	9.0	15.0	9.5	30.9	10.0	10.0			
Braccin		Basalt variolitic			0.6	1.6	19.6	3.4	51.5	65.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Bieccia		Breccia			0.0								
Low grade, brown		Low grade, brown			1.0	4.0	3.6	5.1	6.9				
Low grade, colorless		Low grade, colorless			0.3	1.3	0.6	•••		5.0			
Medium high grade		Medium high grade			1.0	1.3	1.6	2.8	1.5				
Medium mgn grade		Anorthosite					0.3						
Anorthosite		Cataclastic anorthosite			1.0								
Cataclastic anorthosite		Norite							0.5	5.0			
Norito		Plagioclase			16.3	7.0	17.3	9.0	8.5	5.0			
Nonte		Clinopyroxene			21.3	26.3	21.0	17.4	10.8				
Gabbro		Orthopyroxene											
Plagioclase		Olivine						0.6	•••				
Clinestrates		Ilmenite			6.0	3.3	4.6	3.3	2.3				
Chnopyroxene		Glass					<i>.</i>		0.0				
Orthopyroxene		Orange			7.6	5.0	6.3	4.5	0.8	5.0			
Olivine		*Black'			18.7	10.6	9.0	5.1	0.1	5.0			
		Coloriess Brown			1.0	5.2	1.5	3 3	1.5				
Imenite		Brown Grav 'ropy'			0.5	0.6	4.0	1.7		10.0			
Glass		Other			2.0			1.0					
Orange		Total number of grains											
(D) L		counted	300	161	300	300	300	178	130	20	100	?	?
"Black"		Wt % of total sample for											
Colorless		each size fraction	17.98	12.21	8.39	3.0	8.66	7.04	7.08	3.44	6.15	6.74	10.16
Brown				.1 0	4 1 1 11					-			100 46
		Sample /1061,1 was taken	from Station 1 c	on the mare surfa	ice. Aggiutinate	versus nonaggit	innate grains we	ere identified by	using a scanning	electron micro	scope in size ra	inges of <20 an	u ∠0-45 µm. The

Other

* The <20-µm fraction is 83% nonagglutinate; the 20- to 45-µm fraction is 82.7% nonagglutinate.

Lunar Regolith – Composition vs. particle size?



- Graphic produced from Heiken (1975) Table 4a for a typical Apollo 17 mare regolith
 - 130 300 grains were counted for each size split
 - 3-18 wt % of size split
- Lithic fragments dominate the larger size fractions
 40 vol. % or more
- Glass & Plagioclase enriched in the finest size fractions
 45 vol. % or more

APL LSII | ISRU Considerations

- Much of what we know about regolith and simulants are characteristics of BULK samples
 - Differences in composition exist between grain size splits
 - Visible differences in simulants
 - Documented in at least two Apollo 17 regolith samples
 - This trend suggests that the dust will have more plagioclase & glass, less lithic fragments
 - There may be differences in grain shapes by size as well
- Regolith simulants and even lunar regolith do not necessarily behave in the same way on Earth as they would on the Moon
 - Solar wind implants volatiles on lunar surface (reactivity, cohesive forces, etc.)
 - Nanophase Fe⁰ results in magnetic properties in lunar regolith
 - Lower confining stresses at lunar surface
 - We attempted to compare our data to only earth-based measurements on lunar regolith (not in situ measurements)





(Fig. 7 in Carrier 2005)

Lunar Simulants Working Group (LSWG)

- LSWG on LSIC Webpage (under Our Work)
 - https://lsic.jhuapl.edu/Our-Work/Working-Groups/Lunar-Simulants.php
 - Assessments under "Assessments & Databases" tab
- LSWG Confluence Page (requires LSIC membership, link on main page)
 - https://lsic-wiki.jhuapl.edu/display/LSWG/Lunar+Simulants+Working+Group+Home
 - Assessments under "LSWG Resource Library" => "Recent Simulant Assessments & Reports"

Simulant Teams Assessments Publications Resources And More!!!

Lunar Simulant Data Repository

Created by Andrea Harman, last modified by Karen Stockstill-Cahill on Jan 19, 2022

Introduction

Spurred by the Constellation Program, a 2010 report from LEAG and CAPTEM (Simulant Working Group, 2010) presented findings on the lunar regolith simulants that were available at that time (e.g., JSC-1, JSC-1A, NU-LHT) and their strengths and weaknesses for various uses. Excellent summaries of the history of, and the shortcomings of these simulants were presented by Taylor and colleagues (Taylor and Liu, 2010; Taylor et al., 2016). In the intervening decade, new simulants have become available that specifically address the limitations of the previous iterations. Here we present information on several simulants from this new generation, including new analyses of their particle size–frequency distribution, particle morphology, and composition, and their potential suitability for specific uses.

Introduction and Background

Methods used for Lunar Simulant Assessments

Assessed Lunar Highland Simulants

Assessed Lunar Mare Simulants

Assessed Lunar Agglutinates Simulants

Lunar Simulant Providers included in recent Assessments

JHU-APL LSII REPORT: 2021 Lunar Simular Assessment	Unar Surface Innovation UNIVERSITY OF CONTENTS FOR INST UNIVERSITY OF CONTENTS 2022 Lunar Simulant Assessment
PCC: Karen Stockstill-Cohill (haren-stockstill- David T. Bowert, D. Berlgenini, J. Bussey, Joshua T. S Holler, Marcel Grandmon Seguration, Greenbage Weiler Jaterson, August M. Stocker, and Carle M Johns Hopkitter Applied Physics Laboratory	POC: Karen Stockstill Cahlli (Karen, Stockstill Cahllige)haopil.edu., 24().228-0065) Anna Martin and Carlie Wagener Johns Hopkins Applied Physics Laboratory

LSWG Speaker Series starts TODAY!!!

Speaker: Dr. Doug Rickman

Title: "The Art of Simplification: Making the choices that allow simulants to be made, chosen, and used."

Date/Time: June 15th, 2023 at 1 pm ET

Please email Karen.Stockstill-Cahill@jhuapl.edu to be added to new LSWG List Serve

Format: henry@somewhere.comHenryBrown

Meeting ID: 161 580 7672

Passcode: 828569

Meeting link at:

https://lsic-wiki.jhuapl.edu/display/LSWG/Lunar+Simulants+Working+Group+Home



C O N S O R T I U M